

RESENHA: "WAR, RELIGION, AND EMPIRE"

PHLLIPS, Andrews. *War, Religion, and Empire*: The Transformation of International Orders. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011. ISBN 978 0 521 12209 2.

THIAGO CORRÊA MALAFAIA

Doutorando em Relações Internacionais pela PUC Minas E-mail: thiagomalafaia@hotmail.com

The issue of international orders is a specially pressing one in the field of International Relations. Orders change with times, either being transformed by circumstances and/or being outrightly abandoned and substituted with another such form. Notwithstanding, these shifts bring in their wake very important consequences and can even change completely the way peoples, nations, polities and states view themselves in relation to each other and in raletion with the world.

No matter how one sees international orders, which are understood by Phillips as an ensemble of constitutional norms and institutions through which co-operation is fostered and conflict undermined and contained between different polities, it is difficult to play down their importance to International Relations, as a discipline, and as a practice. That is precisely the theme adressed by Phillips in his book. The author adresses three bascic questions in this work: 1) what are international orders?; 2) what elements contribute to and can be held accountable for their transformation?; 3) and how can they be maintained even when faced by violent shocks challenges? Drawing on two basic empirical cases, Christendom and Sino-centric East Asian order, he contends that, despite their idiosyncrasies, both cases share some common characteristics. Based on theses common elements the builds his conception of order

RESENHA: "WAR, RELIGION, AND EMPIRE"

which is, to some extent, a synthesis exercise between the constructivist and realist

traditions of IR.

The constructivist strata tend to ontologically place more importance on how

ideas can transform orders. Such a view overshadows the role played by material

constraints and factors, if not not considering them also permissing factors, along with

ideas, placing them as something allienated from ideas themselves. What is consistant

with the claims and critiques that constructivist revolutions lack on "blood". On the

other hand, realist strata tend to place too much importance on economic and military

power and prowess, revolutions in military technology, territory, and so on and so

forth, almost ignoring alltogether how important resistance to the consolidated order

on the ideational level can be important to contributing to order transformation and,

utlimately, to order substitution. What is consistant with the claims that realist

revolutions are understood as inherently top-down fenomena. Thus, studies carried

out exclusevely under one or the another ontological heading tend to have

constrained explaining power and scope, leaving the readers with the constant feeling

that some of these studies lack "some juice". That is exactly what Phillips intends to

counter with his book. It is interesting to note that such an attempt is not exactly

unprecendented as there is already a some scholars trying to build bridges between

constructivis and realism. Good examples are the "cultural realist" and "constructivist

realist" theoretical constructs. However, seldom are the studies with such a focus,

international orders, and investigating such an empirical case, Christemdom and Sino-

centric East Asia.

Working with an eclectic approach, he plays down neither material factors nor

ideational ones, equating both in terms of importance and establishing both as

permissing and necessary factors in promoting order change. Such an exercise is

incredibly needed for, as the author puts it, is the only way of completely grasping in

full the elements that comprise inherently complex fenomena such are international

orders.

Monções: Revista de Relações Internacionais da UFGD, Dourados, v.3. n.5, jan./jun., 2014

Disponível em: http://www.periodicos.ufgd.edu.br/index.php/moncoes

168



9

He argues in favor of a view that international orders are composed of two core features. First, that they are based on either ideational factors, which he calls authoritative forms of power, and material factos, which he labels coercive forms of power. Second, that irrespective of its idiosyncrasies, orders tend to end sooner or later. This way, it would be only logical to say that orders carry within themselves frailties and tensions which tend to accentuate themselves over time, if explored, could prove to be their undoing leading, ad postremum to their complete fraying. That is exactly where Phillips is getting at. Orders tend to be created with some basic assumptions and objectives in mind. In other words, they tend to br created to adress some especific issues and problems. After or even in the process of dealing with these questions others, however, tend to arise and it should the newly established order not adapt to them, either by inherent incapacity and lack of flexibility or by outright lack of political will and resistance, ensuing struggles would tend to follow, culminating in their substitution or, at the very least, forced transformation.

Thus, in order to acquire the empirical evidence needed to support these claims Phillips deals with his two proposed cases in a comparative historical fashion. By observing how either Christendom and Sino-centric orders, each at their own turn, were transformed, with the regions shifting to a sovereign international order, he is able to come up with conving arguments about the interplay of both material and ideational factors in order shifting and how both are necessary to such an outcome. Especially important were factors such political polarization, a component of what he labels *ideological schism*, and military innovation. Both factors were paramount in order transformation.

With such lessons in mind, he also addresses the issue of contemporary order and how it is faring in the face of shocks in the guise of transnational terrorism growth, religous fundamentalism, post-colonial state failure, spread of weapons of mass destruction. Stricken by such security concearns, the author contends that states and the international community need to understand the origins of the main "vectors" pressing for change and act accordingly if the present order is to be preserved. Albeit its many flaws it would be interesting to preserve the current order for it has

RESENHA: "WAR. RELIGION. AND EMPIRE"

intitutionalized moral vauable advances, examples being norms of non-aggression, of

non-intervention, and human rights covenants.

Interestigly enough, for Phillips international orders can be distinguised from

one another following four axes: 1) principle of fifferentiation; 2) puposive orientation;

3) institutional form; and 4) distribution of capabilities. These axes inform the different

degrees of international systems change. Positional change signifies that the relative

distribution of power and prestige shifts between the actors but the basic institutions

and values remain. As for institutional change, some rather large changes occur on the

institutions of the given order. Purposive changes entails both the transformation of

the underlying moral assumptions and institutions. Finally, configurative changes entail

shifts occur on three areas: the order's principle of unit differentiation, the values, and

the fundamental institutions.

The book is comprised of three parts and ten chapters, apart from introduction

and conclusion. In the first part, which is comprised of chapters one and two, is laid the

conceptual framework. In part two, composed of chapters three through nine, are

presented the empirical evidences supporting the author's claims. In part three,

consisting of chapter ten, are analysed the constraints and threats to the current

international order. The book is very interesting in that it offers an insight rather

different from that provided by constructivist and realist mainstreams. It is an

excellent exercise of synthesis, showing us readers, one more time, that bridges can be

constructed between constructivism and realism and that such efforts do not from

great theoretical tension by default. It is a good read and might interest students and

prationers of International Relations (especially constructivists and realists), History

and Sociology alike.

Recebido em 12 de junho de 2014.

Aceito em 10 de agosto de 2014.

Monções: Revista de Relações Internacionais da UFGD, Dourados, v.3. n.5, jan./jun., 2014 Disponível em: http://www.periodicos.ufgd.edu.br/index.php/moncoes

170